P06-04   # Development of the TOXIN Knowledge Graph for assisting animal-free risk assessment of cosmetic ingredients (#127)

S. Sepehri[1], J. Maushagen[1], G. Vrijens[2], C. Debruyne[2], R. Marcelino Rodrigues[1], A. Sanctorum[3], O. De Troyer[3], T. Vanhaecke[1]

[1] VUB, IVTD, Brussels, Belgium
[2] ULiège, Montefiore Institute, Liège, Belgium
[3] VUB, WISE lab, Computer Science, Brussels, Belgium

Purpose: Currently, no validated animal-free replacement methods exist to assess repeated dose toxicity. This poses serious problems for developing new chemical compounds, particularly cosmetics, where animal use has been fully banned in the EU since the implementation of Regulation (EC) N°1223/2009. Nevertheless, Integrated Approaches to Testing and Assessment (IATA) have been introduced by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) to support chemical safety assessment. IATAs rely on an integrated analysis of existing information combined with generating new information using non-testing (e.g., grouping and read-across) and alternative testing methods (e.g., *in vitro* and *in silico*). Here, we developed the TOXIN Knowledge Graph (KG) to gather and maintain existing safety data of annexed cosmetic ingredients to contribute to non-animal systemic toxicity assessments. **Materials and methods:** To create the TOXIN KG, a dataset of 93 scientific opinions dealing with 88 different cosmetic ingredients, published between 2009 and 2019 by the Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety (SCCS), was selected. The data was first captured in Microsoft Office Excel for user-friendliness. Next, the data files were converted into CSV format for ease of data processing and subsequently transformed into RDF (Resource Description Framework) graphs that are machine readable using a standardized mapping language called R2RML. The primary use of TOXIN KG is to look for organ-specific toxicological information. Here, a search tool with specific filters focused on liver effects has been developed. Furthermore, the tool allows retrieving Hazard Evaluation Support System (HESS) *in silico* prediction directly from the OECD QSAR toolbox, generates SMILES, and grades studies semi-automatically using the ToxRtool for the Klimisch score. To provide a systemic representation of toxicological concepts and relationships, the ToXic Process Ontology (TXPO) has been imported and enriched with the Ontology of Genes and Genomes and biological pathways repositories, e.g., Reactome. **Results:** Based on the search filters, 52 cosmetic ingredients showed at least one liver-related toxicity parameter. From those compounds, 16 also bared structural alerts for hepatotoxicity predicted by the OECD QSAR toolbox. Based on this outcome, four ingredients were selected for targeted *in vitro* testing using human liver-relevant cell systems, which is possible due to the implementation of enriched TXPO into TOXIN KG allowing to link an observed effect in the existing (*in vivo*) data to a toxicological pathway and genes involved. **Conclusions:** TOXIN KG is a graph-structured semantic technology-based knowledge base given in RDF, which is machine-interpretable. The tool can infer implicit information from explicit data using rule-based mechanisms. This information, together with the *in silico* data and the additionally generated *in vitro* data, is instrumental to set up IATA case studies.

References

[1] EC - European Commission (2009). Regulation (EC) No.1223/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on cosmetic products. Official Journal of European Union. L, 342, 59-209.
http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2009/1223/2020-05-01

[2] *Sanctorum, A., Riggio, J., Sepehri, S., Arnesdotter, E., Vanhaecke, T., & De Troyer, O. (2021). A jigsaw-Based End-User Tool for the Development of Ontology-Based Knowledge Bases. In: D. Fogli, D. Tetteroo, B. R. Barricelli, S. Borsci, P. Markopoulos, & G. A. Papadopoulos (Eds.), End-User Development. IS-EUD 2021. (pp. 169-184). (Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics); Vol. 12724 LNCS). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-79840-6_11*

[3] *Sanctorum A, Riggio J, Maushagen J, Sepehri S, Arnesdotter E, Delagrange M et al. End-user engineering of ontology-based knowledge bases. Behaviour & Information Technology. 2022 Jul 4;41(9):1811-1829. https://doi.org/10.1080/0144929X.2022.2092032*

[4] M. Laclavik, M. Šeleng, E. Gatial, Z. Balogh, and L. Hluchý. Ontology-based Text Annotation - OnTeA. In *Information Modelling and Knowledge Bases XVIII*, volume 154, pages 311–315, 2006.
URLhttps://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/document?repid=rep1&type= pdf&doi=d1ba15ad9d4689f5a7ebccf8249a5c73ec26203e.